## Groups of Möbius Transformations

Final Project Topic Suggestions

These are just suggestions – you are welcome to pursue a topic of your own invention.

## **Computer-Related Projects:**

- (1) Schottky Plotting Following the suggestions in Indra (or elsewhere), develop and implement an algorithm to plot the limit set of a Schottky group. This can be done within a mathematical programming environment like MATLAB or Mathematica, or as a stand-alone program. Your program should also be able to plot the orbits of structures related to the Schottky group, like the fundamental domain and its boundary circles.
- (2) Naive Discreteness Test Consider a family of subgroups of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , like the Maskit slice, and write a program that tries to determine which groups in the family are discrete. You might try looking for nearly-elliptic elements, which are a certain indication of nearby indiscreteness. A more high-tech solution would apply rigorous tests like the Jorgensen inequality to pairs of elements. Your program should be able to draw a picture for any one-parameter family of two-generator groups that is specified by giving formulas for the generating matrices. Test your program on the Maskit slice.
- (3) Maskit Boundary Indra (pp 282 314) contains a sketch of an algorithm to trace the boundary of the set of discrete groups in the Maskit slice. Roughly speaking, this algorithm takes the following form: For any rational number p/q, there is an associated word in the generators a, b which becomes parabolic at some point on the boundary of the discreteness locus. The trace of this word is a polynomial  $P_{p/q}(\mu)$  in the parameter  $\mu$ , so this point can be located as a root of  $P_{p/q}(\mu) - 2$ . By selecting an appropriate (and large) set of rational numbers in [0, 1], one can find the right roots of the associated polynomials with newton's method, and connect the roots to draw a picture of the boundary. Implement this algorithm, and if possible, compare your output with Dave Wright's program Kleinian.
- (4) Close Returns and Discontinuity Given two generators for a suitable Kleinian group (one of the quasifuchsian groups from Indra would be a good start), write a program that tries to locate the domain of discontinuity as follows: Staring with a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , compute its image under a few thousand short words in the generators, and let  $\delta(z)$  denote the minimum distance between z and one of these images. The function  $\delta(z)$  should get very small as z approaches the limit set, so one should be able to see  $\Omega$  if a picture is drawn in which z is colored according to  $\delta(z)$ .

## **Paper-and-pencil Projects:**

- (1) **Elementary Groups** Read about the classification of elementary Kleinian groups in Maskit, Beardon, or a similar reference. Explain several aspects of this classification, such as:
  - (a) A non-elementary group contains a loxodromic element
  - (b) Elementary groups are virtually abelian
  - (c) The topology of the quotient of the domain of discontinuity

- (d) Flexibility or rigidity: For a given elementary group  $\Gamma \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , are there isomorphic Kleinian groups that are not conjugate?
- (e) Can an elementary Kleinian group be abstractly isomorphic to a non-elementary Kleinian group?
- (2) Relation to Hyperbolic Geometry Explain the relationship between the action of PSL<sub>2</sub>(C) on Ĉ by Möbius transformations and on H<sup>3</sup> by isometries. Give formulas for the latter in at least one model of H<sup>3</sup>. Show that a subgroup of PSL<sub>2</sub>(C) is discrete if and only if it acts discontinuously on H<sup>3</sup>. Characterize the partition Ĉ = Λ ∪ Ω for a Kleinian group Γ using the action on H<sup>3</sup>.
- (3) **Fundamental Domains** Following the discussion in §9.5 9.9 in Beardon, explain the Ford construction of a fundamental domain for a Kleinian group with  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . This is one possible answer to the question of how to find a fundamental domain for a Kleinian group's action on its domain of discontinuity. Explain Poincaré's polyhedron theorem as a partial converse, allowing one to sometimes determine when a region is a fundamental domain for the group generated by a set of Möbius transformations acting on its domain of discontinuity.
- (4) **Differential Geometry of Parameter Spaces** Use the action of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  to describe the geometry of the spaces of certain geometric objects related to Möbius geometry; for example, the space of
  - (a) round circles in  $\mathbb{C}$ , or round disks
  - (b) pointed disks (i.e. pairs (D, z) where D is an open round disk and  $z \in D$ )
  - (c) pairs of distinct circles in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$
  - (d) pencils of circles in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$
  - (e) triples or quads of points
  - (f) half-turns in  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$
  - (g) pairs of half-turns that generate a group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/2) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)$

Each of these spaces has a natural action of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , and some have natural compactifications – for example, a point can be seen as a limit of circles whose radii approach zero. Describe these in as much geometric and topological detail as possible.

- (5) Kleinian Groups as Subsets  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$  is homeomorphic to  $S^3 \times R^3$  (prove this), and its double-cover  $SL_2(C)$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ ; in either description, one can think of a Kleinian group as a discrete scattering of points in a noncompact manifold. As in Beardon §5.3 or Maskit §II.B - II.C, discuss some results about the distribution of the elements of a Kleinian group. In particular, give a detailed proof of theorem 5.3.13 from Beardon, and of the Jorgensen inequality (Maskit §II.C.7).
- (6) Möbius Transformations in Many Dimensions As in chapter 3 of Beardon, describe the group of Möbius transformations acting on  $S^n = \hat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ . Generalize elementary geometric facts related to  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$  to this context, including:
  - (a) Spheres map to spheres
  - (b) Conformality
  - (c) Preservation of the cross-ratio

Most importantly, emphasize the great difference between Möbius geometry in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and in higher dimensions by explaining and proving Liouville's theorem: A conformal map of an open set in  $S^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , is the restriction of a Möbius transformation (See Beardon chapter 3 for references). Note that this fails dramatically for n = 2.

- (7) Congruence Subgroups of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  Analyze the action of  $\Gamma(n) \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on the upper-half plane  $\mathbb{H}$  for several small values of n. Describe a fundamental domain and the quotient  $X(n) = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma(n)$ . Use your examples to illustrate some general results about  $\Gamma(n)$ , like formulas for the genus, index, or number of cusps. Explain a general procedure that produces a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma(n)$ .
- (8) **Bending** If you have some background in 2-dimensional hyperbolic geometry, you know that a compact surface  $\Sigma_g$  of genus g > 1 has many hyperbolic metrics, and that each gives rise to a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \to PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  whose image is a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $\mathbb{H} = \tilde{\Sigma}_g$ . Considering  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\Gamma$  is also a Kleinian group, whose domain of discontinuity is  $\mathbb{H} \cup \mathbb{H}$  and whose limit set is  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ . Given a simple closed geodesic C of some hyperbolic metric on  $\Sigma_g$ , it is possible to deform the group  $\Gamma$  by "bending" the representation around  $\alpha$  as follows: Suppose  $\Sigma_g \alpha$  is not disconnected, with connected components A and B. Then  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \pi_1(A) \star_{\gamma} \pi_1(B)$ , where  $a \in \pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  is a representative of the free homotopy class of C. Let  $R_\theta \in PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$  be an elliptic element with rotation angle  $\theta$  commuting with  $\gamma$ . The deformed representation is defined as:

$$\rho_{\theta}(\delta) = \begin{cases} \rho(\delta) & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(A) \\ R_{\theta}\rho(\delta)R_{\theta}^{-1} & \text{if } \delta \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

By the free product description of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ , this uniquely defines a representation  $\rho_{\theta} : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \to PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Fill in the details of this construction, and describe the bending process geometrically. Draw pictures of the limit set for several groups in a family  $\rho_{\theta}$ .